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Abstract

The catalytic hydrodehalogenation (HDH) of several halogenated organic compounds was performed over sonochemically prepared molybdenum carbide and tungsten carbide at low temperatures ($T = 200–300 \degree C$). Both catalysts were selective, active, and stable for all substrates tested. Benzene was observed as the major product for the HDH of fluoro-, chloro-, bromo- and iodobenzene, with activities following the trend of C$_6$H$_5$F > C$_6$H$_5$Cl > C$_6$H$_5$Br > C$_6$H$_5$I. For the HDH of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), Cl was selectively removed over F and alkanes were the major product. In the liquid phase, the HDH of polychlorinated and polybrominated biphenyls resulted in biphenyl as the only product. The HDH of substrates bearing aliphatic C–Cl bonds occurs faster than those with arene C–Cl bonds. Time-on-stream studies of HDH of chlorobenzene show high stability for the sonochemically prepared catalysts, with half-lives as long as 600 h.
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1. Introduction

The environmental impact of halogenated organics has led to the strict regulation of halocarbons. Widespread use of chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) has contributed to both global warming and depletion of the ozone layer [1]. Meanwhile, the use of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and their brominated analogues (PBBs) has resulted in their global bioaccumulation and environmental contamination [2–5]. Additional environmental and health hazards stem from the use of various other halogenated organics including chlorophenols, chlorobenzenes, and 1,1,1-trichloro-2,2-bis(p-chlorophenyl)ethane (DDT). The remediation of contaminated sites, disposal of existing halogenated organics, and the prevention of future pollution is a serious problem that requires new solutions.

There are several approaches to the remediation of halocarbons: activated carbon adsorption, thermal incineration, catalytic oxidation, and hydrodehalogenation (HDH) [6]. While treatment with activated carbon can aid in the recovery and recycling of halogenated solvents, it is not a viable method for destruction of halocarbons. Thermal incineration ($\geq 900 \degree C$) is successful at destroying halogenated organics, however, the presence of oxygen during the process can lead to the formation of even more toxic secondary pollutants such as polyhalogenated dioxins and furans [7–11]. Emissions from incineration plants are a major contributor to the 3000 kg of polyhalogenated dioxins and furans produced every year [12]. Catalytic oxidation allows for the use of lower temperatures ($\leq 600 \degree C$), but current catalysts have relatively low activity [6,13].
Catalytic HDH of halogenated organics produces non-halogenated organic compounds at lower temperatures (<300 °C) and is the most promising of the remediation techniques available. Current technology for HDH, however, is severely limiting. Previous HDH studies have focused on the noble metals Pd, Pt, and Rh; unfortunately, these are also excellent hydrogenation catalysts, so their selectivity for HDH is poor. For example, the HDH of chlorobenzene over Pd- or Pt-based catalysts leads to substantial production of chlorocyclohexane and cyclohexane[14]. Furthermore, it has been reported that these catalysts are prone to deactivation by attack from the HCl produced during the course of HDH and by coke formation[15–19]. The use of Ni has proven to be more selective and stable than Pd and Pt, but its activity is lower[20–22]. Conventionally prepared Mo- and W-based catalysts have exhibited similar activity and stability for the HDH of CFCs, but are difficult to prepare with high surface area and have not been examined with other halogenated organics[23,24].

The development of sonochemistry as an important new technique for the synthesis of nanostructured inorganic materials has only recently been established[25–28]. Sonochemistry occurs in liquids subjected to intense ultrasonic irradiation and derives from localized hotspots formed by the implosive collapse of micron-sized bubbles during acoustic cavitation[29,30]. Our group has previously developed the sonochemical synthesis of high surface area, nanosstructured molybdenum carbide (Mo2C) and examined its catalytic dehydrogenation activity[31].

As part of ongoing studies in this laboratory of heterogeneous catalysis by sonochemically prepared catalysts, the activity, selectivity, and stability of sonochemically prepared Mo2C and W2C were examined for the HDH of halogenated organics. Monohalobenzenes were tested as substrates due to their close resemblance to moieties found in common pesticides, herbicides, disinfectants, and flame-retardants. Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12) was used as a HDH model substrate for CFCs, and 4,4′-dichlorobiphenyl was used as a model substrate for PCBs. In this paper we report on the HDH rates of several halogenated hydrocarbons over sonochemically prepared Mo2C and W2C catalysts.

Sonochemical preparation of nanophase materials arises from acoustic cavitation: the formation, growth, and implosive collapse of bubbles in a liquid irradiated with high intensity ultrasound[25,32]. The collapse of such bubbles creates hot spots with temperatures as high as 5000 K, pressures of hundreds of atmospheres, and cooling rates in excess of 1010 K/s[30,33]. These conditions provide an unusual method for the decomposition of organometallics and the consequent sonochemical formation of nanostructured materials[26,31,32]. For our purposes, Mo(CO)6 and W(CO)6 were used for the formation of Mo2C and W2C, respectively.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and equipment

Unless otherwise noted, all synthesis, preparation, and handling of the materials were carried out in an Ar atmosphere box (vacuum atmosphere) with <0.5 ppm O2. Pentane was dried and distilled over Na/benzophenone and degassed prior to use. Hexadecane (Aldrich, 99%) and decane (Aldrich, 99%) were dried and distilled over Na and degassed. All halogenated hydrocarbons (>99%), except the CFCs and PCBs, were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC-12) was obtained from Mattex Service Company (Champaign, IL.) and chlorodifluoromethane (CFC-22) was purchased from S.J. Smith. Methane (99.99%), hydrogen (99.99%), and helium (99.9%) were purchased from S.J. Smith and further purified by passing through Oxytraps (Agilent) prior to use. The polyhalogenated biphenyls and diphenylethers were obtained from the Marvel Storeroom (University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign) and used as received. Mo(CO)6 (98%) and W(CO)6 (99%) were purchased from Strem and used without further purification. LiOH (Laboratory Grade, Fisher) and alumina (neutral 150 mesh, Aldrich) were heat treated at 200 °C under vacuum for 24 h to remove adsorbed water. All sonications were done at 20 kHz using a Sonics & Materials VCX600 with a 1 cm² titanium horn at an acoustic intensity of ~60 W cm⁻² in a glass vessel under Ar atmosphere.

Reactions with the CFCs and chloroalkanes were analyzed with a 5730A Hewlett-Packard gas chromatograph (GC) fitted with a flame ionization detector and a quadrupole mass spectrometer (Spectra Instru-
ments). Separations were carried out with a 10% Carbowax 20M on Chromosorb W-HP packed column or an n-octane Porasil C packed column. All other re-
actions were analyzed with a Hewlett-Packard 6890 GC system equipped with an HP-5973 Mass Selective Detector and a DB-35MS column (HP-GCMS).

2.2. Preparation of catalysts

Nanostructured Mo$_2$C was prepared as previously described [31]. A slurry of 2.0 g Mo(CO)$_6$ in 35 ml hexadecane was sonicated for 3 h at 80 °C under argon. The resulting black powder was filtered and washed several times with pentane. The washed powder was then heated to 100 °C for 12 h under vacuum to re-
move unreacted Mo(CO)$_6$. Oxygen contamination was removed by heating the amorphous product at 500 °C for 12 h under a 1:1 flow of CH$_4$:H$_2$ at 30 cm$^3$/min. Elemental analysis of the treated powder gives a Mo/C ratio of 1.97. The W$_2$C was prepared in a similar man-
ner with W(CO)$_6$ as the starting material. Elemental analysis of the treated powder gives a W/C ratio of 2.13.

2.3. Gas/vapor phase HDH

Gas/vapor catalytic studies were performed at at-
mospheric pressure with 100 mg of catalyst in a
single-pass quartz microreactor. Vapors of liquid sub-
strates were carried from a thermally equilibrated saturator by a flow of purified H$_2$ and He. Flow rates (27.5 cm$^3$/min) were controlled with digital mass-flow
controllers (MKS). All vapors passed through a LiOH
plug prior to entering the online HP-GCMS.

2.4. Liquid phase HDH

The liquid phase HDH experiments were carried out using a custom-built stainless steel pressure ves-
sel equipped with a sample withdrawal system [27]. The catalyst (50 mg) was dispersed in 50 ml of decane containing 0.1 M substrate. The vessel was flushed with H$_2$ and pressurized to 500 psi with H$_2$. For con-
sistency, $t_0$ was denoted as the time when the target
temperature was reached. Samples were removed pe-
riodically throughout each experiment. The sampling system was equipped with a 2.0 µm stainless steel fil-
ter to prevent removal of catalyst from the system. Aliquot size was approximately 0.25 ml. The samples were then centrifuged to remove any particulates, di-
luted 1:10 with pentane, and analyzed with GC–MS.

2.5. Characterization

X-ray powder diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded on a Rigaku D-max diffractometer using Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were collected on a Phi-540 spectrometer using Mg K$_\alpha$ radiation and were referenced to the carbide C (282.7 eV) peak. Scanning and transmission electron micrographs (SEM and TEM, respectively) were taken on Hitachi S800 and Philips CM-12 electron microscopes operated at 20 and 120 kV, respectively. Surface areas of the sonochemically prepared cat-
alysts were determined by Brunauer–Emmet–Teller (BET) N$_2$ adsorption isotherms at 77 K.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalyst characterization

The XRD pattern of the sonochemically prepared Mo$_2$C is characteristic of poorly crystalline Mo$_2$C. It exhibits broad peaks centered at d-spacings of 2.39, 1.48, and 1.28 Å which correspond to the [1 1 1], [2 2 0], and [3 1 1] reflections of the face centered cubic Mo$_2$C (2.38, 1.47, and 1.26 Å), respectively [34]. Similarly, the XRD pattern for the sonochem-
ically prepared W$_2$C exhibits broad peaks centered at d-spacings of 2.37, 1.48, and 1.26 Å, which cor-
respond to the [1 1 1], [2 2 0], and [3 1 1] reflections
of the face centered cubic WC$_{1-x}$ (2.38, 1.47, and 1.26 Å), respectively [35]. The apparent asymmetry of the [1 1 1] reflection may be due to overlap with the
[2 0 0] reflection. An average crystallite size of 2.0 nm for Mo$_2$C and 1.8 nm for W$_2$C were estimated from the application of the Scherrer equation to the width of the [2 2 0] and [3 1 1] reflection of each pattern [36]. Scanning and transmission electron micrographs, Fig. 1, reveal that the material exists as a porous ag-
glomeration of clusters of spherical particles with an
average diameter of ~3 nm, consistent with the X-ray
diffraction line widths. The surface area before heat
treatment was 188 and 120 m$^2$/g for Mo$_2$C and W$_2$C,
respectively. Following heat treatment, the surface areas were reduced to 130 and 60 m²/g, respectively.

The XPS spectrum of the sonochemically prepared Mo₂C shows a well-defined spin-coupled Mo (3d⁵/₂, 3d³/₂) doublet at respective binding energies of 227.4 and 230.5 eV with a d⁵/₂/d³/₂ area ratio of 1.5. Characteristic of Mo₂C, the carbidic C₁s peak was observed at a binding energy of 283.5 eV [37]. The XPS spectrum of sonochemically prepared W₂C shows a well-defined spin-coupled W (4f⁷/₂, 4f⁵/₂) doublet at respective binding energies of 31.2 and 33.2 eV with an f⁷/₂/f⁵/₂ ratio of 1.33. The carbidic C₁s peak was observed as a broad peak at a binding energy of 284.3 eV.

3.2. Gas solid catalytic HDH activity

The HDH of aryl halides was used to investigate the general selectivity, stability, and activity of sonochemically prepared Mo₂C and W₂C. The activity results are summarized in Table 1. The HDH of chlorobenzene over W₂C, shown in Fig. 2, leads exclusively to benzene. Neither chlorocyclohexane nor cyclohexane was observed under any conditions. This demonstrates the higher selectivity of the sonochemically prepared Mo₂C for HDH of chlorobenzene compared to the commonly used catalysts based on Pd, Pt and Rh [15–17]. Lee et al. [38] reported that conventional Mo₂C catalyzes the hydrogenation of benzene to cyclohexane. Despite the high H₂ ratio to substrate ratio (typically 200:1) used in these studies, however, we observed no hydrogenation products during the HDH of aromatics over Mo₂C or W₂C. Thus, it can be concluded that the hydrogenation of benzene is completely suppressed under HDH conditions. Reducing the H₂/substrate ratio to 1:10 resulted in a 53% decrease in rate to 2.7 × 10⁻¹⁷ molecules g⁻¹ s⁻¹, but no change in selectivity. A similar trend was observed for the HDH of chlorobenzene with Mo₂C as the temperature and H₂/substrate ratios were varied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Activity (× 10⁻¹⁷)</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluorobenzene</td>
<td>4.5 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>6.5 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene</td>
<td>5.2 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodobenzene</td>
<td>3.7 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>4.3 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-Chloroanisole</td>
<td>1.7 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorocyclohexane</td>
<td>1.3 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Chlorohexane</td>
<td>4.0 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Chloropropane</td>
<td>6.0 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Chloroanisole</td>
<td>1.5 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Chlorofluorobenzene</td>
<td>5.1 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Chlorotrifluorobenzene</td>
<td>2.7 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane</td>
<td>1.6 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethane</td>
<td>3.2 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>4.3 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-Dichlorobiphenyl</td>
<td>2.2 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-Dichlorodiphenyl ether</td>
<td>2.2 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-Dichlorostyrene</td>
<td>2.9 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorophenol</td>
<td>2.4 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>1.8 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All activities measured at 300 °C after 2 h of catalysis. 
Activity measured at 350 °C.
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Fig. 1. TEM of sonochemically prepared Mo₂C.

Table 1
HDH activity of substrates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Activity (× 10⁻¹⁷)</th>
<th>Catalyst</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fluorobenzene</td>
<td>4.5 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobenzene</td>
<td>6.5 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromobenzene</td>
<td>5.2 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iodobenzene</td>
<td>3.7 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-Dichlorobenzene</td>
<td>4.3 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-Chloroanisole</td>
<td>1.7 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorocyclohexane</td>
<td>1.3 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-Chlorohexane</td>
<td>4.0 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-Chloropropane</td>
<td>6.0 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Chloroanisole</td>
<td>1.5 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Chlorofluorobenzene</td>
<td>5.1 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Chlorotrifluorobenzene</td>
<td>2.7 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichlorodifluoromethane</td>
<td>1.6 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dichloroethane</td>
<td>3.2 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichloroethene</td>
<td>4.3 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>Mo₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-Dichlorobiphenyl</td>
<td>2.2 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-Dichlorodiphenyl ether</td>
<td>2.2 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,4'-Dichlorostyrene</td>
<td>2.9 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentachlorophenol</td>
<td>2.4 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetrachloroethylene</td>
<td>2.8 × 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDT</td>
<td>1.8 × 10⁻¹⁷</td>
<td>W₂C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All activities measured at 300 °C after 2 h of catalysis. 
Activity measured at 350 °C.
Fig. 2. Catalytic activity of W$_2$C for the HDH of chlorobenzene. Conditions: $P_{H_2}/P_{substrate} = 200:1$ and space velocity $= 16,500 \text{ h}^{-1}$.

Fig. 3. Stability of Mo$_2$C and W$_2$C for the HDH of chlorobenzene. Conditions: $300^\circ \text{C}, P_{H_2}/P_{substrate} = 200:1$ and space velocity $= 16,500 \text{ h}^{-1}$.
The stability of sonochemically prepared Mo$_2$C and W$_2$C catalysts during the HDH of chlorobenzene was investigated at 300 °C. As shown in Fig. 3, no significant deactivation of the catalyst was observed with increasing time-on-stream. Following 100 h of continuous exposure of the catalysts to the reaction mixture, the rates decreased by a modest margin of ~15%. This decrease in activity allows for a half-life estimation of 300 and 600 h for the HDH of chlorobenzene with Mo$_2$C and W$_2$C, respectively.

In addition to chlorobenzene, the HDH of fluorobenzene, bromobenzene and iodobenzene was investigated. As shown in Fig. 4, the catalysts are active at ambient pressure and low temperatures for all four substrates. The HDH of aryl halides produces exclusively benzene, with no hydrogenation observed. The activities over Mo$_2$C follow the unusual pattern of C$_6$H$_5$F > C$_6$H$_5$Cl > C$_6$H$_5$Br > C$_6$H$_5$I. With the exception of C$_6$H$_5$F, the activity of W$_2$C follows the same pattern and is greater than Mo$_2$C. These results follow a similar trend observed with Ni HDH catalysts, and suggest a mechanism involving the electrophilic attack of hydrogen on the adsorbed halobenzene [39–41]. The rate is governed by the electron affinity of the halogen and its effect on the halogen’s ability to interact with the catalyst surface. The relative differences observed between the activities of Mo$_2$C and W$_2$C for each halobenzene are consistent with metal-halogen enthalpies of formation ($\Delta H_f$).

Assuming the HDH mechanism proceeds without formation of a discrete metal-halogen bond, such a formation will decrease the observed activity of the catalyst by blocking the active metal sites. Reported metal-halogen $\Delta H_f$ values are generally greater for tungsten halides at lower oxidation states and decrease with increased oxidation states [42,43]. For example, the $\Delta H_f$ for WBr and MoBr are 586 and 457 kJ/mol, respectively, and the $\Delta H_f$ for WC$_2$ and MoCl$_2$ are ~251 and ~289 kJ/mol, respectively. However, the $\Delta H_f$ trend observed with the chlorides, bromides and iodides is reversed for WF$_6$ and MoF$_6$ with $\Delta H_f$ values of ~1722 and ~1586 kJ/mol, respectively. A similar relation between W and Mo fluorides at lower oxidation states could account for the lower activity of W$_2$C for the HDH of fluorobenzene.

This difference is further illustrated in Fig. 5 with the HDH of hexafluorobenzene at 350 °C over the sonochemically prepared catalysts, where the rate of HDH over Mo$_2$C is twice that of W$_2$C with benzene as the major product (>90%).

It has been shown that electron-withdrawing substituents on the phenyl ring inhibit the HDH of
chlorobenzenes over Ni catalysts [40]. Our initial attempt to investigate the effect of various substituents on the HDH of chlorobenzene over sonochemically prepared Mo2C led to unexpected but interesting observations. Table 1 shows the reaction rate and Fig. 6 shows the selectivity observed when $p$-chloro-$a,a,a$-trifluorotoluene was examined as a substrate under identical conditions as those used for chlorobenzene. It can be readily noted that the rate is similar to that observed with non-substituted chlorobenzene. The high selectivity for the initial production of $p$-chlorotoluene shows that the hydrogenolysis of the $C_{\text{benzylic}}$ –F bond is more facile than that of the $C_{\text{arene}}$–Cl bond. This was confirmed by examining the HDH of $a,a,a$-trifluorotoluene over sonochemical Mo2C. The serendipitous observation of $C$–F bond activation by sonochemical Mo2C under HDH conditions prevents mechanistic evaluation of the electronic effects of electron-withdrawing substituents on chlorobenzene HDH.

Fig. 7 shows the rate and selectivity of CFC-12 HDH at 275 and 325°C over Mo2C. The rates increase with temperature and the selectivity is temperature independent. Methane is the major product observed at all temperatures. Unlike conventionally prepared W2C catalysts, which do not activate $C$–$F$ bonds in CFC-12 HDH [44], the hydrogenolysis of $C$–$F$ bonds is observed together with $C$–$Cl$ bond activation when the HDH of CFC-12 is performed over the sonochemically prepared Mo2C. The HDH of CFC-22 was also investigated and results in a spectrum of partially dehalogenated hydrocarbons. Methane was observed as the major (>75%) product together with fluoromethane, difluoromethane, chloromethane and chlorofluoromethane. This selectivity to methane is different to that observed over conventionally prepared W2C, which results in CH2CF2 (CFC-32) as the major product [44]. Halogen-exchange products such as CHF3 or CHCl3 were not observed in these studies. Detailed comparisons of selectivity cannot be made, however, without further studies of the effects of temperature and H2 concentration, for example, to optimize the catalytic process for the production of hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) [24].
3.3. Mechanistic considerations

The activity of sonochemically prepared Mo$_2$C for non-CFC C$_{aliphate}$–Cl bond hydrogenolysis was investigated using chlorocyclohexane, 1-chlorobutane, and 1-chloropropane under experimental conditions similar to those used for chlorobenzene. As can be seen from Table 1, the HDH rate for chlorocyclohexane is at least an order of magnitude faster than that observed for the halobenzenes. Previous studies conducted in this laboratory have shown that cyclohexane is readily dehydrogenated to benzene over the sonochemical Mo$_2$C at temperatures comparable to those of the current studies. Thus, it was anticipated that the HDH of chlorocyclohexane would lead to cyclohexane, which could be dehydrogenated by the same catalyst to benzene. However, neither cyclohexane nor benzene was observed by GC–MS; instead, methylcyclopentane, which is formed presumably via isomerization of a surface bound cyclohexyl intermediate, was the only product observed. The isomerization of cyclohexyl cation to methylcyclopentyl cation under acidic conditions has been previously observed and could account for the formation of the observed methylcyclopentane [45].

In the present study it is predicted that metal carbide–proton adducts may be formed in close proximity to the hydrogenation/dehydrogenation sites. This could lead to "collapsed bifunctional sites", as previously proposed by Sachtl [46,47] and Demirci and Gürün [48], on which rapid C–Cl bond hydrogenolysis and alkyl cation isomerization to the most stable
Fig. 8. Catalytic activity of W\textsubscript{2}C for the HDH of 4,4\textsuperscript{′}-dichlorobiphenyl. Conditions: 34 atm H\textsubscript{2} and 0.1 M 4,4\textsuperscript{′}-dichlorobiphenyl.

Fig. 9. Catalytic selectivity of W\textsubscript{2}C during the HDH of polychlorodiphenylether. Conditions: 34 atm H\textsubscript{2}, 0.1 M polychlorodiphenylether, and 300 °C.
cation could occur. Based on previous work by Maire and co-workers [49], oxide impurities from the sonochemical preparation of our catalysts could also contribute to the formation of these acidic bifunctional sites. The observed selectivity to methylcyclopentane suggests that the primary reaction intermediate in the HDH of chlorocyclohexane is a metal-bound secondary cyclohexyl cation, which isomerizes to the more stable methylcyclopentyl tertiary carbocation.

In order to confirm the validity of the above argument, the HDH of 1-chlorobutane was performed under similar conditions. This rate is about five times higher than that observed for chlorobenzene. Isobutane and the dehydrogenated products 1-butene and cis- and trans-2-butene were the only products detected by GC–MS. The formation of isobutane, presumably via the well-known facile isomerization of the primary butyl cation to the tertiary butyl cation, is consistent with the production of methylcyclopentane from chlorocyclohexane discussed above [50]. The HDH of chloropropane is at least five times faster than that of chlorobenzene and yields a mixture of propane and propene with propene being the major (>80%) product. This observation is in line with the relatively lower C\textsuperscript{aliphatic}–Cl bond dissociation energies than those in C\textsuperscript{arene}–Cl [51–53].

3.4. Liquid phase HDH catalysis

Several low volatility substrates were examined in a pressurized batch reactor. Fig. 8 shows the rates for the HDH of 4,4′-dichlorobiphenyl with sonochemically prepared Mo\textsubscript{2}C and W\textsubscript{2}C. The activity follows the same trend observed with the halobenzenes and the rates are approximately twice that of the gas phase reactions at 300 °C. After 24 h at 300 °C, the primary product is biphenyl (>98%) with small amounts of 4-chlorobiphenyl. The concentration of 4,4′-dichlorobiphenyl was ultimately reduced to <2 ppb. Similar results were observed for the HDH of polychlorodiphenyl ether, 4,4′-dibromobiphenyl, and 4,4′-dibromodiphenyl ether. Contrary to the trend observed in the gas phase with chlorobenzene and bromobenzene, the liquid phase HDH rate for 4,4′-dichlorobiphenyl, shown in Table 1, is in order of magnitude greater than the HDH rate for 4,4′-dichlorobiphenyl. This liquid-phase trend has also been observed by Aramendia et al. [54] for the
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hydrogenolysis of aryl halides with supported Pd catalysts; it origin, however, warrants further investigation. Although the trend in reactivity differs from gas-phase to liquid-phase, the selectivity remains high. For example, the selectivity for the HDH of polychlorodiphenylether is shown in Fig. 9 and shows diphenylether as the primary product. There was no evidence of hydrogenation of the ring or cleavage of the ether group.

3.5. Effects of HDH on the catalysts

The spent catalysts were analyzed with XPS and XRD using samples from the stability studies shown in Fig. 3. The XRD patterns, shown in Fig. 10, did not change following 100 h of HDH at 300 °C, indicating the catalysts retained their carbide phase and crystallite size. Based on XPS analysis, however, the surface structure and composition exhibited a substantial change. The concentration of chlorine detected in the XPS spectra was 8.5 and 6.1 at.% for Mo₂C and W₂C, respectively. The carbon content for Mo₂C increased slightly from 53.6 to 56.1 at.%, while the carbon content on the surface of the W₂C decreased from 52.9 to 49.7 at.%. The deconvoluted C₁s peaks for both catalysts are shown in Fig. 11. Prior to catalysis, the C₁s peaks of both catalysts are dominated by carbide (282.7 eV) and polymeric carbon (284.3 eV).
with smaller amounts of C–O and C=O. Following 100 h of HDH over Mo$_2$C, the presence of carbidic carbon and polymeric carbon decrease coupled with an increase in the C–O/C–Cl peak (285.6 eV). This same effect is observed to a greater extent with W$_2$C. Fig. 12 shows the effect of HDH on the Mo (3d$_{5/2}$, 3d$_{3/2}$) and W (4f$_{7/2}$, 4f$_{5/2}$) doublets. The doublet for Mo (3d$_{5/2}$, 3d$_{3/2}$) is broadened after catalysis due to an average increase in Mo oxidation states as a result of chemisorbed Cl and remains at 227.4 eV. Similar to the C1s, this effect is exaggerated for the W$_2$C catalyst with a clear shift of the W (4f$_{7/2}$, 4f$_{5/2}$) doublet from 31.1 to 33.0 eV.

Due to the absence of any significant increase in polymeric carbon deposits on the surface following catalysis, it is unlikely that coking is the cause of the slight deactivation observed in Fig. 3. The increased presence of higher oxidation states indicates possible chlorination of the surface. This is in agreement with previous explanations offered by Oyama and Giraudon [23,24].

4. Conclusion

In summary, we have demonstrated that sonochemically prepared Mo$_2$C and W$_2$C are active, stable, and selective catalysts for the HDH of halogenated organic compounds in both gas and liquid phases. The catalysts are active for CFCs, PCBs, and their analogs. Halogenated aliphatics are catalyzed at a higher rate than halogenated aromatics, and the gas phase trend for the halogenated aromatics is C$_6$H$_5$F > C$_6$H$_5$Cl > C$_6$H$_5$Br > C$_6$H$_5$I. Results from the HDH of chlorocyclohexane and 1-chlorobutane indicate that the HDH process of sonochemically prepared Mo$_2$C and W$_2$C proceeds via a carbenium rather than a radical mechanism. Surface analysis indicates the slow deactivation of the catalyst is a result of surface halogenation rather than coking.
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